

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 GENEVA 01127 181227Z

53

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-11 ISO-00 ERDA-05 AF-06 ARA-06

CIAE-00 DODE-00 EA-07 PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NASA-01

NEA-10 NSAE-00 NSC-05 OIC-02 SP-02 PA-01 PRS-01

OES-03 SS-15 USIA-06 SAJ-01 MC-02 /123 W

----- 001493

R 181152Z FEB 76

FM USMISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 8181

INFO AMEMBASSY BUCHAREST

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

C O N F I D E N T I A L GENEVA 1127

DISTO

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, CCD, RO

SUBJ: CCD: CONSULTATION ON PROCEDURES

REF: STATE 36756 (NOTAL)

1. PURSUANT TO PARA 2 REFTTEL, IN ADDITION TO CONSULT-  
ING SOVIETS AND WESTERN ALLIES (SEPTELS), US DEL  
CONTACTED ROMANIANS IN ADVANCE REGARDING PROPOSAL IN  
AMB MARTIN'S OPENING STATEMENT THAT CCD UNDERTAKE  
COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF ITS PROCEDURES DURING THE 1976  
SESSION. ROMANIANS WERE RECEPTIVE IN GENERAL, BUT  
GAVE STRONG SIGNALS THAT THEY WOULD PRESS HARD ON  
THEIR IDEAS FOR PROCEDURAL CHANGES, PARTICULARLY  
ESTABLISHMENT OF DETAILED AGENDA AND ALLOCATION OF  
TIME FOR CONSIDERATION OF INDIVIDUAL AGENDA ITEMS.

2. US DELOFFS MET FEBRUARY 16 WITH TUDOR (ROMANIAN  
CCD DELOFF) TO EXPLAIN US PROPOSAL AND SEEK SUPPORT  
FOR IDEA OF COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW. TUDOR, CITING  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 GENEVA 01127 181227Z

IMPORTANCE ROMANIA ATTACHED TO PROCEDURAL ISSUES AS

EXEMPLIFIED BY ITS INITIATIVES ON SUBJECT, SAID HE THOUGHT ROMANIAN DELEGATION WOULD BE PREPARED TO SUPPORT AND COOPERATE WITH REVIEW. COMMENTING ON SUGGESTION THAT REVIEW COULD BE COMPLETED, AND AGREED PROCEDURES CODIFIED, BY END OF SUMMER SESSION, TUDOR EXPRESSED PERSONAL OPINION THAT REVIEW SHOULD BE COMPLETED AS RAPIDLY AS POSSIBLE, AND THAT SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS TOWARD AGREEMENT ON "MAIN POINTS" SHOULD BE MADE DURING SPRING SESSION.

3. TUDOR IDENTIFIED AS ISSUES OF PARTICULAR CONCERN TO ROMANIA THE NEED TO ESTABLISH A PLAN AND "CALENDAR" OF WORK, INCLUDING TIME TO BE ALLOWED FOR CONSIDERATION OF INDIVIDUAL TOPICS WITH WHICH COMMITTEE WAS SEIZED, AND TO ASSURE THAT CCD IS RESPONSIVE TO ALL UNGA RESOLUTIONS REFERRING ISSUES TO IT. DELOFF SAID THESE WOULD BE APPROPRIATE QUESTIONS TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE REVIEW. HE POINTED OUT THAT U.S. CONSIDERED EXISTING PROCEDURES ADEQUATE AND EFFECTIVE AND WOULD WANT TO BE SURE THAT ANY CHANGES WOULD BE GENUINE IMPROVEMENTS.

4. JUST PRIOR TO COMMITTEE'S OPENING MEETING ON AFTERNOON OF FEBRUARY 17, US REP MARTIN MET WITH ROMANIAN REP ENE TO UNDERSCORE US HOPE THAT ROMANIANS WOULD SUPPORT PROPOSAL HE WAS ABOUT TO MAKE. ENE WAS POSITIVE TOWARD GENERAL IDEA, SAYING THAT CCD PROCEDURAL REVIEW (IMPLICITLY MEANING CHANGE) WAS "NECESSARY." ENE REITERATED THAT ROMANIANS WOULD PRESS FOR ALLOCATION OF FIXED PERIOD OF TIME FOR CONSIDERATION OF EACH ITEM ON AN AGENDA THAT, HE SAID, SHOULD BE MUCH MORE DETAILED THAN CCD'S PRESENT AGENDA. MARTIN POINTED TO PROBLEMS THAT COULD ARISE IN ATTEMPTING TO ESTABLISH SUCH PROCEDURES. WHILE NOTING THAT US PROPOSAL CONTEMPLATED THOROUGH EXAMINATION OF ALL CCD PROCEDURAL ARRANGEMENTS, MARTIN REITERATED VIEW THAT EXISTING ARRANGEMENTS ARE EFFECTIVE AND INDICATED ESSENTIALLY CONSERVATIVE US ATTITUDE TOWARD CHANGES THAT MIGHT BE ADVOCATED IN COURSE OF REVIEW. DALE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** MEETING AGENDA, REORGANIZATIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 18 FEB 1976  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** izenbei0  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1976GENEVA01127  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D760060-1028  
**From:** GENEVA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1976/newtext/t19760241/aaaabjbf.tel  
**Line Count:** 98  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION ACDA  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 76 STATE 36756  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** izenbei0  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 05 MAY 2004  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <05 MAY 2004 by woolflhd>; APPROVED <30 AUG 2004 by izenbei0>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
04 MAY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** CCD: CONSULTATION ON PROCEDURES  
**TAGS:** PARM, RO, US, CCD  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006